

School Accountability Report Card

Reported Using Data from the 2010-11 School Year

Published During 2011-12

Every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC), by February 1 of each year. The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC webpage at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

I. Data and Access

EdData Partnership Web Site

EdData is a partnership of the CDE, EdSource, and the Fiscal Crisis Management and Assistance Team (FCMAT) that provides extensive financial, demographic, and performance information about California's public kindergarten through grade twelve school districts and schools.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest webpage at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., state Academic Performance Index [API], federal Adequate Yearly Progress [AYP]), test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners.

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible. Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

II. About This School

Contact Information (School Year 2011-12)

School		District	
School Name	Siskiyou County Special Education	District Name	Siskiyou County Office of Education
Street	609 South Gold St.	Phone Number	(530) 842-8400
City, State, Zip	Yreka , CA 96097-3110	Web Site	www.siskiyoucoe.net
Phone Number	(530) 842-8432	Superintendent	Kermith Walters
Principal	Constance Watte McCoy	E-mail Address	kwalters@siskiyoucoe.net
E-mail Address	cmccoy@siskiyoucoe.net	CDS Code	47-10470-6069405

School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2010-11)

This section provides information about the school, its programs and its goals.

Mission Statement:

Our goal is to provide a free and appropriate public education to all our children in the least restrictive environments. We endeavor to support and educate children in partnership with general education utilizing collaboration and coordination of services

Description:

Siskiyou County Office of Education provides special day class programs for students with moderate to severe disabilities through the guidelines of the Special Education Local Plan Area (SELPA - Special Education Services). We serve students from birth to 22 years of age throughout Siskiyou County in 14 separate classes that are located on regular education campuses. The students are provided with specialized instruction in areas related to their individual education plans.

We provide programs such as WorkAbility, which is a school-to-work program for youth in special education and the Learn to Earn, which provides work-based learning experiences leading to successful transition to employment. We provide advanced training to promote the involvement of key stakeholders including students, families, educators, employers and other agencies in planning and implementing an array of services that will culminate in successful student transition to employment, lifelong learning and quality of life.

In addition we offer a variety of Health and Student Services providing students, staff, and schools with a safe and healthy working and learning environment to facilitate an environment that promotes a health-oriented lifestyle and provides an opportunity to learn about decisions which affect our health for a lifetime.

Disclaimer: The information provided in this SARC has been entered to the best of our knowledge. With the reduction of data normally populated in the SARC template by the California Department of Education and lack of clarity of how the data is obtained, we have found it very difficult to know how to calculate and populate certain aspects of this SARC.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2010-11)

This section provides information on how parents can become involved in school activities, including contact information pertaining to organized opportunities for parent involvement.

Parents are involved in the educational program for their children through the annual Individualized Education Plan meeting. Their child's program is designed for the school year. Three year reevaluation assessments meetings are also held to update the eligibility for special education services for each child. Parents are kept informed of their student's progress in school on a daily basis through parent/teacher communication verbally or in writing. Parents are also given quarterly written progress reports on their child's individual goals and objectives. Parents are invited to participate in classroom activities throughout the year such as; field trips, special projects and classroom observations.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2010-11)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	12
Grade 1	7
Grade 2	6
Grade 3	11
Grade 4	6
Grade 5	8
Grade 6	3
Grade 7	6
Grade 8	9
Ungraded Elementary	0
Grade 9	6
Grade 10	10
Grade 11	10
Grade 12	6
Ungraded Secondary	0
Total Enrollment	100

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2010-11)

Group	Percent of Total Enrollment	Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	1	White	65
American Indian or Alaska Native	7	Two or More Races	11
Asian	2	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	54
Filipino	0	English Learners	2
Hispanic or Latino	14	Students with Disabilities	99
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	0		

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

Grade Level	2008-09			2009-10			2010-11					
	Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms		
		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+
Other	10	14			11	13			9	13	0	0

* Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

III. School Climate

School Safety Plan (School Year 2010-11)

This section provides information about the school's comprehensive safety plan, including the dates on which the safety plan was last reviewed, updated, and discussed with faculty; as well as a brief description of the key elements of the plan.

The Special Education Department is committed to providing a safe and secure campus for all students, parents, and staff. Each individual SCOE classroom is covered by a site-specific safety plan developed by the District and site that hosts each SCOE class. Those plans are comprehensive, include coordination with all countywide government agencies, and ensure that SCOE students on each campus will be provided with the support and services needed in an emergency. Each staff member is certified in CPR annually and each classroom is provided with a safety backpack for emergency situations. Student specific safety procedures are reviewed annually for each student. Our SCOE classes are required to practice fire, intruder, and evacuation drills as part of each school site safety plan.

Date Last Reviewed/Updated: November 7, 2011

Date Discussed with Staff: August 8, 2011

Suspensions and Expulsions

Rate	School			District		
	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
Suspensions	2.25	0	0	0.49	0	0
Expulsions	0	0	0	0	0	0

* The rate of suspensions and expulsions is calculated by dividing the total number of incidents by the total enrollment (and multiplying by 100).

IV. School Facilities

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (School Year 2011-12)

This section provides information from the most recent Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) data (or equivalent), including:

- Description of the safety, cleanliness, and adequacy of the school facility
- Description of any planned or recently completed facility improvements
- The year and month in which the data were collected
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair

Year and month in which data were collected: November 2011

Siskiyou County Special Education provides 13 classrooms on campuses in local school districts across Siskiyou County. We have a team of 4 full-time maintenance custodians and 1 part-time custodian to ensure that classrooms and restrooms are kept clean and safe, providing a safe clean environment for students, staff and volunteers.

Risk Control Manager, Dirk Duchschiereer of InterWest Insurance Services, Inc., Philip Clark of Keenan and Associates, and HUE & Cry Security System, Inc. evaluate and inspect for adherence to safety protocols at regular intervals to ensure compliance with all applicable health and safety codes. In addition, site-teachers are required and trained to populate the Monthly Self Inspection Tool to identify, document and request any need repairs, upgrades, hazardous conditions or safety violations in writing to our Maintenance Supervisor, Kirk Pickard. Each of our facilities meet the Williams Act criteria.

School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2011-12)

This section provides information from the most recent Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) data (or equivalent), including:

- Determination of repair status for systems listed
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair
- The Overall Rating (bottom row)

System Inspected	Repair Status				Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor	
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
Interior: Interior Surfaces	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
Electrical: Electrical	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	[]	[]	[X]	[]	
Overall Rating	[]	[X]	[]	[]	

V. Teachers

Teacher Credentials

Teachers	School			District
	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2010-11
With Full Credential	13	11	13	15
Without Full Credential	1	2	0	0
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	0	0	0	---

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	1	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments	1	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

* "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

"Vacant Teacher Positions" refer to positions not filled by a single designated teacher assigned to teach the entire course at the beginning of the school year or semester.

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2010-11)

The Federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), also known as No Child Left Behind (NCLB), requires that core academic subjects be taught by Highly Qualified Teachers, defined as having at least a bachelor's degree, an appropriate California teaching credential, and demonstrated core academic subject area competence. For more information, see the CDE *Improving Teacher and Principal Quality* webpage at: <http://www.cde.ca.gov/nclb/sr/tq/>

Location of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Taught by	
	NCLB Compliant Teachers	Non-NCLB Compliant Teachers
This School	100	0
All Schools in District	100	0
High-Poverty Schools in District	0	0
Low-Poverty Schools in District	100	0

* High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 25 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

VI. Support Staff

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2010-11)

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor		N/A
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)		---
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0.01%	---
Library Media Services Staff (paraprofessional)		---
Psychologist	3.75%	---
Social Worker		---
Nurse	1.60%	---
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	6.00%	---
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	2.60%	---
Other		---

* One Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full-time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full-time.

VII. Curriculum and Instructional Materials

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2011-12)

This section describes whether the textbooks and instructional materials used at the school are from the most recent adoption; whether there are sufficient textbooks and instruction materials for each student; and information about the school's use of any supplemental curriculum or non-adopted textbooks or instructional materials.

Year and month in which data were collected: 2011, November

The ED/SDC classes use SBE adopted textbooks and curriculum. The SDC classes teach functional skills at Elementary levels utilizing BASIC2 curriculum based on the CA State standards.

Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	Our students participate in CORE curriculum area in the general ed classes on the school sites in which each special day class program is located.	Yes	0
Mathematics	Our students participate in CORE curriculum area in the general ed classes on the school sites in which each special day class program is located.	Yes	0
Science	Our students participate in CORE curriculum area in the general ed classes on the school sites in which each special day class program is located.	Yes	0
History-Social Science	Our students participate in CORE curriculum area in the general ed classes on the school sites in which each special day class program is located.	Yes	0
Foreign Language	Our students receive textbooks and materials from the general ed classrooms in which they receive CORE curriculum subjects.	Yes	0
Health	Our students receive textbooks and materials from the general ed classrooms in which they receive CORE curriculum subjects.	Yes	0
Science Laboratory Equipment (grades 9-12)	Our students receive textbooks and materials from the general ed classrooms in which they receive CORE curriculum subjects.	Yes	0

VIII. School Finances

Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2009-10)

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Supplemental/ Restricted)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Basic/ Unrestricted)	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	\$27,255	\$27,255	NA	\$51,801
District	---	---	NA	\$51,801
Percent Difference: School Site and District	---	---	NA	0
State	---	---	NA	\$67,932
Percent Difference: School Site and State	---	---	NA	-23.75

* **Supplemental/Restricted** expenditures come from money whose use is controlled by law or by a donor. Money that is designated for specific purposes by the district or governing board is not considered restricted.
Basic/Unrestricted expenditures are from money whose use, except for general guidelines, is not controlled by law or by a donor.

For detailed information on school expenditures for all districts in California, see the CDE Current Expense of Education & Per-pupil Spending webpage at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/ec/>. For information on teacher salaries for all districts in California, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>. To look up expenditures and salaries for a specific school district, see the Ed-Data Web site at: <http://www.ed-data.org>.

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2010-11)

This section provides specific information about the types of programs and services available at the school that support and assists students. For example, this narrative may include information about supplemental educational services related to the school's federal Program Improvement (PI) status.

Our students are provided services through the SELPA - Special Education Services which are restricted funding sources.

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2009-10)

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$32,377	\$38,744
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$47,813	\$55,509
Highest Teacher Salary	\$63,248	\$70,567
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	N/A	\$92,338
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$77,385	\$96,427
Average Principal Salary (High)	N/A	\$94,401
Superintendent Salary	N/A	\$109,381
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	29%	36.60%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	6%	6.69%

* For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

IX. Student Performance

The Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program consists of several key components, including:

- California Standards Tests (CSTs), which include English-language arts (ELA) and mathematics in grades two through eleven; science in grades five, eight, and nine through eleven; and history-social science in grades eight, and nine through eleven.
- California Modified Assessment (CMA), an alternate assessment that is based on modified achievement standards in ELA for grades three through eleven; mathematics for grades three through seven, Algebra I, and Geometry; and science in grades five and eight, and Life Science in grade ten. The CMA is designed to assess those students whose disabilities preclude them from achieving grade-level proficiency on an assessment of the California content standards with or without accommodations.
- California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA), includes ELA and mathematics in grades two through eleven, and science for grades five, eight, and ten. The CAPA is given to those students with significant cognitive disabilities whose disabilities prevent them from taking either the CSTs with accommodations or modifications or the CMA with accommodations.

The assessments under the STAR Program show how well students are doing in relation to the state content standards. On each of these assessments, student scores are reported as performance levels.

For detailed information regarding the STAR Program results for each grade and performance level, including the percent of students not tested, see the CDE STAR Results Web site at <http://star.cde.ca.gov>.

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison

Subject	School			District			State		
	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
English-Language Arts	78	80	89	52	52	57	49	52	54
Mathematics	57	58	79	34	36	38	46	48	50
Science	0	0	0	39	42	52	50	54	57
History-Social Science	0	0	0	21	31	44	41	44	48

* Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results by Student Group - Most Recent Year

Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced			
	English-Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	History-Social Science
All Students in the LEA	57	38	52	44
All Student at the School	89	79	0	0
Male	88	78	0	0
Female	92	80	0	0
Black or African American	0	0	0	0
American Indian or Alaska Native	83	75	0	0
Asian	0	0	0	0
Filipino				
Hispanic or Latino	0	0	0	0
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander				
White	87	78	0	0
Two or More Races	0	0	0	0
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	93	78	0	0
English Learners	0	0	0	0
Students with Disabilities	89	79	0	0
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services				

* Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

California High School Exit Examination

The California High School Exit Examination (CAHSEE) is primarily used as a graduation requirement. However, the grade ten results of this exam are also used to establish the percentages of students at three proficiency levels (not proficient, proficient, or advanced) in ELA and mathematics to compute AYP designations required by the federal ESEA, also known as NCLB.

For detailed information regarding CAHSEE results, see the CDE CAHSEE Web site at <http://cahsee.cde.ca.gov/>.

California High School Exit Examination Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison

Subject	School			District			State		
	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
English-Language Arts					26	47	52	54	59
Mathematics					24	42	53	54	56

* Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

California High School Exit Examination Grade Ten Results by Student Group - Most Recent Year

Group	English-Language Arts			Mathematics		
	Not Proficient	Proficient	Advanced	Not Proficient	Proficient	Advanced
All Students in the LEA	53	16	31	58	29	13
All Students at the School	0	0	0	0	0	0
Male	0	0	0	0	0	0
Female	0	0	0	0	0	0
Black or African American	0	0	0	0	0	0
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0	0	0
Asian	0	0	0	0	0	0
Filipino	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hispanic or Latino	0	0	0	0	0	0
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0	0	0
White	0	0	0	0	0	0
Two or More Races	0	0	0	0	0	0
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	0	0	0	0	0	0
English Learners	0	0	0	0	0	0
Students with Disabilities	0	0	0	0	0	0
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0	0	0	0	0

* Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2010-11)

The California Physical Fitness Test (PFT) is administered to students in grades five, seven, and nine only. This table displays by grade level the percent of students meeting the fitness standards for the most recent testing period. For detailed information regarding this test, and comparisons of a school's test results to the district and state, see the CDE PFT webpage at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/pf/>.

Grade Level	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards
5	*	*	*
7	*	*	*
9	*	*	*

* Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

X. Accountability

Academic Performance Index

The Academic Performance Index (API) is an annual measure of state academic performance and progress of schools in California. API scores range from 200 to 1,000, with a statewide target of 800. For detailed information about the API, see the CDE API webpage at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ap/>.

Academic Performance Index Ranks - Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the school's statewide and similar schools' API ranks. The **statewide API rank** ranges from 1 to 10. A statewide rank of 1 means that the school has an API score in the lowest ten percent of all schools in the state, while a statewide rank of 10 means that the school has an API score in the highest ten percent of all schools in the state.

The **similar schools API rank** reflects how a school compares to 100 statistically matched "similar schools." A similar schools rank of 1 means that the school's academic performance is comparable to the lowest performing ten schools of the 100 similar schools, while a similar schools rank of 10 means that the school's academic performance is better than at least 90 of the 100 similar schools.

API Rank	2008	2009	2010
Statewide	C	C	C
Similar Schools	C	C	C

Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group - Three-Year Comparison

Group	Actual API Change		
	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
All Students at the School	-12	8	38
Black or African American			
American Indian or Alaska Native			
Asian			
Filipino			
Hispanic or Latino			
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander			
White			
Two or More Races	N/D		
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged			
English Learners			
Students with Disabilities			

* "N/D" means that no data were available to the CDE or LEA to report. "B" means the school did not have a valid API Base and there is no Growth or target information. "C" means the school had significant demographic changes and there is no Growth or target information.

Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group - 2011 Growth API Comparison

This table displays, by student group, the number of students included in the API and the 2011 Growth API at the school, LEA, and state level.

Group	2011 Growth API					
	School		LEA		State	
	# of Students	Growth API	# of Students	Growth API	# of Students	Growth API
All Students at the School	67	839			4,683,676	778
Black or African American	1				317,856	696
American Indian or Alaska Native	12	815			33,774	733
Asian	3				398,869	898
Filipino	0				123,245	859
Hispanic or Latino	10				2,406,749	729
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	0				26,953	764
White	40	825			1,258,831	845
Two or More Races	0				76,766	836
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	41	864			2,731,843	726
English Learners	2				1,521,844	707
Students with Disabilities	67	839			521,815	595

Adequate Yearly Progress

The federal ESEA requires that all schools and districts meet the following Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) criteria:

- Participation rate on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- Percent proficient on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- API as an additional indicator
- Graduation rate (for secondary schools)

Detailed information about AYP, including participation rates and percent proficient results by student group, can be found at the CDE Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) webpage at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/>.

Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2010-11)

AYP Criteria	School	District
Made AYP Overall	Yes	Yes
Met Participation Rate: English-Language Arts	Yes	Yes
Met Participation Rate: Mathematics	Yes	Yes
Met Percent Proficient: English-Language Arts	Yes	Yes
Met Percent Proficient: Mathematics	Yes	Yes
Met API Criteria	Yes	N/A
Met Graduation Rate (if applicable)	N/A	N/A

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2011-12)

Schools and districts receiving federal Title I funding enter Program Improvement (PI) if they do not make AYP for two consecutive years in the same content area (ELA or mathematics) or on the same indicator (API or graduation rate). After entering PI, schools and districts advance to the next level of intervention with each additional year that they do not make AYP. For detailed information about PI identification, see the CDE PI Status Determinations webpage: <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/tidetermine.asp>.

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	Not In PI	3
First Year of Program Improvement		
Year in Program Improvement		
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	---	1
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	---	33.3

XI. School Completion and Postsecondary Preparation

Admission Requirements for California's Public Universities

University of California

Admission requirements for the University of California (UC) follow guidelines set forth in the Master Plan, which requires that the top one-eighth of the state's high school graduates, as well as those transfer students who have successfully completed specified college course work, be eligible for admission to the UC. These requirements are designed to ensure that all eligible students are adequately prepared for University-level work.

For general admissions requirements, please visit the UC Admissions Information webpage at <http://www.universityofcalifornia.edu/admissions/>. (Outside source)

California State University

Eligibility for admission to the California State University (CSU) is determined by three factors:

- Specific high school courses
- Grades in specified courses and test scores
- Graduation from high school

Some campuses have higher standards for particular majors or students who live outside the local campus area. Because of the number of students who apply, a few campuses have higher standards (supplementary admission criteria) for all applicants. Most CSU campuses have local admission guarantee policies for students who graduate or transfer from high schools and colleges that are historically served by a CSU campus in that region. For admission, application, and fee information see the CSU webpage at <http://www.calstate.edu/admission/admission.shtml>. (Outside source)

Dropout Rate and Graduation Rate

Indicator	School			District			State		
	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
Dropout Rate (1-year)	3.7	7.1	11.5	66.7	3.9	8.8	4.9	5.7	4.6
Graduation Rate	U11			77.9		7	80.21	78.59	80.44

* The National Center for Education Statistics graduation rate as reported in AYP is provided in this table.

Completion of High School Graduation Requirements

This table displays, by student group, the percent of students who began the 2010-11 school year in grade twelve and were a part of the school's most recent graduating class, meeting all state and local graduation requirements for grade twelve completion, including having passed both the ELA and mathematics portions of the CAHSEE or received a local waiver or state exemption.

Group	Graduating Class of 2011		
	School	District	State
All Students	1	8	N/D
Black or African American			N/D
American Indian or Alaska Native		1	N/D
Asian			N/D
Filipino			N/D
Hispanic or Latino			N/D
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander			N/D
White	1	7	N/D
Two or More Races			N/D
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged		7	N/D
English Learners			N/D
Students with Disabilities		1	N/D

* "N/D" means that no data were available to the CDE or LEA to report.

Career Technical Education Programs (School Year 2010-11)

This section provides information about Career Technical Education (CTE) programs including:

- Programs and classes offered that are specifically focused on career preparation and or preparation for work
- How these programs and classes are integrated with academic courses and how they support academic achievement
- How the school addresses the needs of all students in career preparation and/or preparation for work, including needs unique to defined special populations of students
- The measurable outcomes of these programs and classes, and how they are evaluated
- State the primary representative of the district's CTE advisory committee and the industries represented on the committee

N/A

Career Technical Education Participation (School Year 2010-11)

Measure	CTE Program Participation
Number of pupils participating in CTE	0
% of pupils completing a CTE program and earning a high school diploma	0
% of CTE courses sequenced/articulated between the school/institutions of postsecondary education	0

Courses for University of California and/or California State University Admission (School Year 2009-10)

UC/CSU Course Measure	Percent
Students Enrolled in Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	0
Graduates Who Completed All Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	0

Advanced Placement Courses (School Year 2010-11)

Subject	Number of AP Courses Offered	Percent of Students In AP Courses
Computer Science	0	---
English	0	---
Fine and Performing Arts	0	---
Foreign Language	0	---
Mathematics	0	---
Science	0	---
Social Science	0	---
All courses	0	0

XII. Instructional Planning and Scheduling

Professional Development

This section provides information on the number of days provided for professional development and continuous professional growth in the most recent three year period. Questions that may be answered include:

- What are the primary/major areas of focus for staff development and specifically how were they selected? For example, were student achievement data used to determine the need for professional development in reading instruction?
- What are the methods by which professional development is delivered (e.g., after school workshops, conference attendance, individual mentoring, etc.)?
- How are teachers supported during implementation (e.g., through in-class coaching, teacher-principal meetings, student performance data reporting, etc.)?

All teachers have been trained in the BASIC2 Curriculum. Teachers have also been trained and will continue to be trained in the Crisis Prevention Instructional Program. In addition, teachers are getting professional development in the areas of autism and other instructional strategies through conference attendance. Teachers are supported during implementation by program managers.